

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/758,958	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SUCKAU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Paul E. Kudirka

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 February 2008
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

5 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*the examiner proposed a minor amendment to the claims to clarify abbreviation ISD in the claims, to which the Applicants agreed*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)